1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Dawniell Alise Zavala (CA State Bar No. 253130) HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP 560 Mission Street, 25 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2994 Telephone: (415) 268-2000 Facsimile: (415) 268-1999 Email: dawniell.zavalad@hro.com Attorneys for Plaintiffs, CAPITOL RECORDS, INC.; UMG RECORDINGS, INC.; SONY BMG MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT; and WARNER BROS. RECORDS INC.	
9		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11		
12		
13	CAPITOL RECORDS, INC., a Delaware	CASE NO. 3:08-CV-01665-EDL
14	corporation; UMG RECORDINGS, INC., a	Hon. Elizabeth D. Laporte
15	Delaware corporation; SONY BMG MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, a Delaware general	•
16 17	partnership; and WARNER BROS. RECORDS INC., a Delaware corporation,	EX PARTE APPLICATION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
18	Plaintiffs,	AND [I KOI OSED] OKDEK
19	v.	
20		
21	JOHN DOE, Defendant.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
-		

EX PARTE APPLICATION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 3:08-cv-01665-EDL #38398 v1

Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court continue the case management conference currently set for July 1, 2008 at 10:00 a.m. to September 30, 2008. As further explained below, Plaintiffs have discovered the identity of the Doe defendant in this case and the parties have reached a provisional settlement. In support of their request, Plaintiffs state as follows:

- 1. The initial case management conference is set for July 1, 2008 at 10:00 a.m. Plaintiffs have not requested any previous continuances of the case management conference in this matter.
- 2. Plaintiffs filed their Complaint for Copyright Infringement against Defendant John Doe ("Defendant") on March 27, 2008. Plaintiffs did not have sufficient identifying information to name the defendant individually in the Complaint, but were able to identify Defendant by the Internet Protocol address assigned by Defendant's Internet Service Provider ("ISP").
- 3. In order to determine Defendant's true name and identity, Plaintiffs filed their *Ex Parte* Application for Leave to Take Immediate Discovery on March 27, 2008, requesting that the Court enter an Order allowing Plaintiffs to serve a Rule 45 subpoena on the ISP.
- 4. The Court entered an Order for Leave to take Immediate Discovery on April 1, 2008, which Plaintiffs then served upon the ISP along with a Rule 45 subpoena.
- 5. The ISP responded to Plaintiffs' subpoena on June 11, 2008, providing Defendant's name, address and telephone number. Plaintiffs then sent a letter to Defendant notifying him of Plaintiffs' claims and inviting Defendant to contact Plaintiffs and attempt resolution of the dispute.
- 6. Plaintiffs' settlement representatives have been in telephone contact with Defendant, and have discussed settlement. Plaintiffs therefore wish to give Defendant a brief period of time to conclude settlement negotiations before proceeding with the litigation. If the case settles, Plaintiffs will promptly file appropriate dispositional documents. If the case does not settle, Plaintiffs plan to file a First Amended Complaint naming Defendant individually and proceed to serve him with process.

EX PARTE APPLICATION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 3:08-cv-01665-EDL

#38398 v1

27

28